Public Document Pack



# Resources and Governance Scrutiny Committee

Date:Tuesday, 11 January 2022Time:2.00 pmVenue:Council Chamber, Level 2, Town Hall Extension

Everyone is welcome to attend this committee meeting.

There will be a private meeting for Members only at 1:00pm on Tuesday 11 January 2022 in the Council Antechamber, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Town Hall Extension

# Access to the Public Gallery

Access to the Public Gallery is on Level 3 of the Town Hall Extension, using the lift or stairs in the lobby of the Mount Street entrance to the Extension. **There is no public access from any other entrance.** 

# Filming and broadcast of the meeting

Meetings of the Resources and Governance Scrutiny Committee are 'webcast'. These meetings are filmed and broadcast live on the Internet. If you attend this meeting you should be aware that you might be filmed and included in that transmission.

# Membership of the Resources and Governance Scrutiny Committee

**Councillors** - Russell (Chair), Ahmed Ali, Andrews, Clay, Davies, Hacking, Hitchen, Kirkpatrick, Lanchbury, B Priest, Robinson, Rowles, Simcock, Wheeler and Wright

# Agenda

#### 1. Urgent Business

To consider any items which the Chair has agreed to have submitted as urgent.

#### 2. Appeals

To consider any appeals from the public against refusal to allow inspection of background documents and/or the inclusion of items in the confidential part of the agenda.

#### 3. Interests

To allow Members an opportunity to [a] declare any personal, prejudicial or disclosable pecuniary interests they might have in any items which appear on this agenda; and [b] record any items from which they are precluded from voting as a result of Council Tax/Council rent arrears; [c] the existence and nature of party whipping arrangements in respect of any item to be considered at this meeting. Members with a personal interest should declare that at the start of the item under consideration. If Members also have a prejudicial or disclosable pecuniary interest they must withdraw from the meeting during the consideration of the item.

#### 4. Minutes

To approve as a correct record the minutes of the meeting held on 7 December 2021. 5 - 14

5. Finance Settlement

Report to follow

- 6. Revenue Budget modelling Report to follow
- 7. Funding of the Capital Programme Report to follow
- 8. Budget Equality Impact Assessments Report to follow

#### 9. Overview Report Report of the Governance and Scrutiny Support Unit.

15 - 34

This report provides the Committee with details of key decisions that fall within the Committee's remit and an update on actions resulting from the Committee's recommendations. The report also includes the Committee's work programme, which the Committee is asked to amend as appropriate and agree.

# Information about the Committee

Scrutiny Committees represent the interests of local people about important issues that affect them. They look at how the decisions, policies and services of the Council and other key public agencies impact on the city and its residents. Scrutiny Committees do not take decisions but can make recommendations to decisionmakers about how they are delivering the Manchester Strategy, an agreed vision for a better Manchester that is shared by public agencies across the city.

The Resources and Governance Scrutiny Committee areas of interest include finances, Council buildings, staffing, corporate and partnership governance as well as Council tax and benefits administration.

The Council wants to consult people as fully as possible before making decisions that affect them. Members of the public do not have a right to speak at meetings but may do so if invited by the Chair. If you have a special interest in an item on the agenda and want to speak, tell the Committee Officer, who will pass on your request to the Chair. Groups of people will usually be asked to nominate a spokesperson. The Council wants its meetings to be as open as possible but occasionally there will be some confidential business. Brief reasons for confidentiality will be shown on the agenda sheet.

The Council welcomes the filming, recording, public broadcast and use of social media to report on the Committee's meetings by members of the public.

Agenda, reports and minutes of all Council Committees can be found on the Council's website www.manchester.gov.uk.

Smoking is not allowed in Council buildings.

Joanne Roney OBE Chief Executive Level 3, Town Hall Extension, Albert Square, Manchester, M60 2LA

# **Further Information**

For help, advice and information about this meeting please contact the Committee Officer:

Michael Williamson Tel: 0161 2343071 Email: michael.williamson@manchester.gov.uk

This agenda was issued on **Friday, 31 December 2021** by the Governance and Scrutiny Support Unit, Manchester City Council, Level 2, Town Hall Extension (Library Walk Elevation), Manchester M60 2LA

This page is intentionally left blank

# **Resources and Governance Scrutiny Committee**

# Minutes of the meeting held on Tuesday, 7 December 2021

#### Present:

Councillor Russell (Chair) – in the Chair Councillors Ahmed Ali, Andrews, Clay, Davies, Hitchen, Kirkpatrick, Lanchbury, B Priest, Robinson, Simcock, Wheeler and Wright

#### Also present:

Councillor Craig, Leader Councillor White, Executive Member for Employment and Housing

Apologies: Councillor Hacking and Rowles

#### RGSC/21/55 Minutes

#### Decision

To approve the minutes of the meeting held on 9 November 2021 as a correct record.

#### RGSC/21/56 Setting Of The Council Tax Base And Business Rates Shares For Budget Setting Purposes

The Committee considered the report of the Deputy Chief Executive and City Treasurer that advised on the methodology of calculating the City Council's Council Tax base for tax setting purposes and Business Rates income for budget setting purposes for the 2022/23 financial year, together with the timing of related payments and the decision on business rates pool membership. The Chair of the Committee would be requested to exempt various key decisions from call in.

The Deputy City Treasurer reported that a key change this year was in respect of the mandatory spreading of the collection fund deficit over three years, brought in by the Government due to the impact of Covid.

Some of the key points that arose from the Committee's discussions were:-

- In what circumstances would the Council not want to be part of the pooling arrangements for business rates; and
- How many business properties were within the four Enterprise Zones and where these pooled with Greater Manchester

The Deputy City Treasurer explained that Government set a spending assessment for each Council on the level of Business Rates to be collected each year. The pooling of business rates allowed for any over collection of Business Rates from any Greater Manchester council to be retained and spread across other council's within the Greater Manchester area that were struggling to meet the level that had been set for them. There would be no advantage to Manchester in withdrawing from these arrangements. The Deputy City Treasurer agreed to provide information after the meeting on the number of business properties in each of the four Enterprise Zones and confirmed that any growth in Business rates in these Zones were retained within Manchester rather than spread across Greater Manchester

# Decisions

The Committee:-

- (1) Note that the Deputy Chief Executive and City Treasurer, in consultation with the Deputy Leader of the Council (Finance) and Leader of the Council, has delegated powers to:
  - Set the council tax base for tax setting purposes in accordance with the Local Authorities (Calculation of Council Tax Base) (England) Regulations 2013;
  - Calculate the business rates income for budget setting purposes in accordance with the Non-Domestic Rating (Rates Retention) Regulations;
  - Agree the estimated council tax surplus or deficit for 2021/22;
  - Agree the estimated business rates surplus or deficit for 2021/22;
  - Determine whether the Council should be part of a business rate pooling arrangements with other Greater Manchester local authorities in 2022/23;
  - Set the dates of precept payments to the Greater Manchester Combined Authority.
- (2) Note that the Chair of the Resources and Governance Scrutiny Committee will be requested to exempt various key decisions from the call in procedure.

# RGSC/21/57 Council Housing Stock - Governance Arrangements

The Committee considered the report of the Strategic Director (Neighbourhoods) and City Solicitor, that provided a further briefing to the Committee on the proposed governance arrangements in respect of Council housing stock. The proposal is intended to have proper oversight of housing management and maintenance services. Empower tenants and meet the requirements of the White Paper "A charter for social housing tenants".

Key points and themes in the report included:

- The scope of the Board's remit in relation to housing stock in different areas of the City;
- The scope of the Board's remit, including in relation to fire safety matters;
- The proposed composition of the Board;
- Engagement with Elected Members; and
- The timeline for recruitment to the Board.

Some of the key points that arose from the Committee's discussions were:

• There was still an issue in relation to the three PFI estates being reference in the draft Terms of Reference and these needed to be removed;

Item 4

- There was strong concern in relation the proposals around the composition of the Board, which included:-
  - Clarity was needed as to how Elected Members that represented wards with Northwards properties within them will be selected to sit on the Board;
  - Whether the proposal for five of elected members in addition the Executive Member for Housing was enough, as there are fewer members than there are relevant wards;
  - It was not appropriate to compare the composition with Registered Providers and other Housing Providers as what was being proposed was an Advisory Group, not a Governance Board;
  - A proposed two year term of office for the five co-opted residents from the Council's housing stock was felt to be too short and there was no proposed length of the term of office for Elected Members on the board;
  - There was a lack of reference to diversity and gender balance in the membership of the Board in the Terms of Reference;
  - Had the Federation of ALMO's guidance been consulted in drawing up these terms of reference?
  - There was a maximum but no minimum number of independent members of the Board, and no reference to timelines or plans for recruitment to these positions;
  - How would the connectivity of the core housing provision with neighbourhoods and other community delivery impacts, with particular reference to environmental investment and community safety, be undertaken;
  - Clarity of the position of Council Officers in respect of their role with the Board and whether they would be advising it or members of it;
  - What would happen if the Housing Board, as an Advisory Committee, disagreed with a decision made by the Council;
  - Was there any obstacle to the Executive Member for Housing and Employment chairing the Board;
  - Was reference to tenants in the charter inclusive of both tenants and leaseholders;
  - Was all miscellaneous council housing stock going to come under the remit of the proposed Board; and
  - The proposals made no or little reference to the following areas:
    - Review of the HRA Business Plan by the Board;
    - Management of contracts and value for money;
    - Whistleblowing arrangements;
    - Conflicts of interest;
    - Partnership and joint venture arrangements.

The Director of Housing Operations confirmed that the three PFI estates were not included within the proposals. He apologised for this oversight and agreed to have reference to these removed from the draft terms of reference for the Board.

In terms of the composition of the Board, he advised that governance arrangements of Registered Providers and similar sized organisations across the country had been looked at, acknowledging however that Local Government operated in a different context, but it was felt that there needed to be the right balance around the resident voice and elected members with further contribution from independent specialist members.

Noting the concerns raised by the Committee in relation to the composition, the Executive Member for Housing and Employment agreed that this would be reconsidered with the points raised being taken into consideration.

The Director of Housing Operations advised that community safety was a core component part of the governance arrangements being put in place. Work around this was being undertaken in partnership with the Council's Neighbourhoods team and Northwards and although at present there was no intention for tenants to be part of this work, this could be looked at.

The City Solicitor advised that if an Elected Member sat on the Board which did not agree with a decision made by the Council, then the Elected Member would likely have a conflict of interest and commented that they should speak to herself in advance so appropriate advice could be given.

The Leader commented that housing management sat within the Neighbourhoods Executive portfolio but if this post was vacant then the Board would be chaired by the Executive Member for Housing and Employment. The Leader acknowledged the concerns that had been raised around the proposed composition of the Board and agreed that this would be addressed, including appropriate diversity and gender balance.

The Director of Housing Operations confirmed miscellaneous council housing stock would not come under the remit of the proposed Board.

The City Solicitor advised that as Northwards was now part of the Council, it would be required to follow the same rules and requirements of the Council, as set out with the constitution. The scope and role of the Board would continue to be developed to ensure it scrutinised the appropriate areas that had been highlighted by the Committee and a number of sub groups would be established under the Board to strengthen the engagement framework with tenants and residents.

### Decisions

The Committee:-

- (1) Notes the report.
- (2) Requests Officers and the appropriate Executive Member(s) re-consider the proposed makeup of the Board in light of the comments and concerns raised and in doing so recommends that:-
  - the PFI stock is explicitly removed from the Terms of Reference;
  - the maximum term of office for both Elected Members and the co-opted residents from the Council's housing stock should be set at a maximum of six years, that there should be parity between Members and residents, and that consideration be given to staggering the lengths of membership of the Board so that there is progressive rolling change in personnel over time;

- the terms of reference cover how conflicts of interest for Elected Members are to be addressed;
- the terms of reference explicitly refer to gender balance and ensuring diversity and protected characteristics are proportionately reflected across the membership of the Board;
- the terms of reference identify which Scrutiny Committee(s) will be responsible for scrutinising the work of the Board.
- the terms of reference refer to the conurbation of Northwards Housing Stock rather than North Manchester, in order to truly reflect the location of all Northwards residents;
- the terms of reference are explicit insofar as the Board will act as an advisory body and not a governance and decision-making body; and
- consideration be given to a minimum number of co-opted non-resident members to be appointed, and a strategy is drawn up for timely recruitment of suitably qualified independent members.

# RGSC/21/58 Manchester's Park Development Programme 2021 - 2025

The Committee considered the report of the Strategic Director (Neighbourhoods), that provided an overview of the financial (revenue and capital) position for parks, with reference to the impact of the pandemic and an update on the programme of investment to deliver revenue savings beyond 2021.

Key points and themes in the report included:

- Providing an introduction and background;
- Noting the vision, key themes and actions of the Manchester Park's strategy;
- Information on the Parks Development Programme;
- Describing capital projects and investment, both live and in the pipeline;
- Revenue achieved through parks

Some of the key points that arose from the Committee's discussions were:

- In relation to live projects, clarification was sought as to which Park was to benefit from new cycling facilities, subject to funding confirmation from British Cycling;
- Had any thought been given to whether there was a tipping point between the use of a park as a form of income generation and being a public accessible open space;
- It was felt that small ward parks should be kept as public open spaces and not used for income generation so not to exclude those resident who could not afford to attend events;
- How was additional funds, with an anticipated £427k income growth by 2024/25 expected to be achieved;
- How did the Council balance the letting of large contracts against ensuring small local suppliers were not excluded;
- The contribution and value that volunteers brought to local parks needed to be acknowledged;

- An audit should be undertaken on the number of parks that had access to public conveniences;
- A holistic view on the running costs of all parks was needed to understand how the spend was allocated and distributed geographically, including what was considered capital and revenue costs;
- What work was being done in respect of improvements to playing fields;
- The investment that was being made in parks needed to be promoted more to address false reporting of parks being under threat; and
- How had the park plans been impacted by the Covid pandemic; and
- Were any parks in Manchester under threat of being sold off for development.

The Head of Parks, Leisure, Youth and Events confirmed that it was Wythenshawe Park that was to benefit from new cycling facilities, subject to funding confirmation from British Cycling. He added that in terms of income generation, the model developed was not to charge for basic or core services, which included access. It was more about where the Council could encourage people to stay in parks longer and choose to spend money rather than go elsewhere, such as good quality café facilities, other concession stands, bringing in attractions periodically which added value over and above the core offer. He advised that the Council had consolidated its contracting arrangements, which for example had resulted in a £90,000 per year from the sale of ice cream alone. He assured the Committee that in adopting this position, it had not been done to attract larger contractors but to derive certain benefits from economies of scale, however in practice, the Council had issued a number of lots for the sale of ice cream and all the companies that had secured these were local companies.

He also advised the Committee that the current strategy would not suggest the charging of core services to attend parks. He acknowledged that point made around the affordability of events in parks and commented that there was a balance to be struck between adding value to communities and generating income for reinvestment.

The Head of Parks, Leisure, Youth and Events reported that he did not feel the Council was anywhere near the tipping point in relation to the use of parks as income generation and being public accessible open spaces and there was still many things that could be done to improve parks and generate income through secondary spend, which linked into the income targets outlined in the report.

The Head of Parks, Leisure, Youth and Events acknowledged the role and contribution of volunteers to parks and would include reference to this in a future report. He added that it was recognised that parks contributed to improvements in public health and wellbeing but through austerity they had struggled to secure investment for the assets within the parks and there was a need for a stronger asset management plan for parks as part of the strategy.

It was explained that there was a separate strategy that addressed the investment and improvements to be made to playing fields and there was interdependency between the two strategies. In relation to Park plans, these were important as they set a framework for investment, however given that £960,000 had been allocated to delivered improvements across every ward, the absence of a park plan would not prevent improvements from taking place. The impact of the pandemic had been the inability to have face to face meetings with "Friends" groups and people's reluctance to do things that were not seen to be essential.

The Head of Parks, Leisure, Youth and Events assured the Committee that no park in Manchester was under threat of being sold off for development.

# Decisions

The Committee:-

- (1) Notes the report.
- (2) Request a future report that provides a more holistic view on the running costs of all parks and allotments to understand how the spend is allocated, and distributed geographically, including what is considered capital and revenue costs.

# RGSC/21/59 Community Safety Partnership Spend

The Committee considered the report of the Strategic Director (Neighbourhoods), that provided an overview of the Community Safety Partnership (CSP) spend.

This will include details of the members, strategic priorities, and funding sources. The report will also provide details of how the partnership allocates funding and the approved spending plans for 2021/22, and some of the activity and outcomes of previously funded projects.

Key points and themes in the report included:

- Details of the members of the CSP, strategic priorities, and funding sources;
- How the partnership allocated funding and the approved spending plans for 2021/22; and
- Activity and outcomes of previously funded projects.

Some of the key points that arose from the Committee's discussions were:

- What was the totality of the money available for community safety, who decided how it was allocated, what criteria was used in determining allocation and what monitoring of spend was taking place, with specific reference to youth funding;
- What lobbying was being done to change the current budgetary process from an annual allocation and was there anything the Committee do to support this;
- Was the provision of CCTV included within the budget for Community Safety;
- Further clarity of how the funding was allocated was needed;
- Funding of £15,000 to tackle hate crime appeared to be low

The Community Safety Lead advised that the Community Safety Partnership received an annual payment from the Greater Manchester Combined Authority and for 2021/22 this equated to £1.13m made up of four, one-year funding grants, which could not be used to provide mainstream services within any of the agencies, including police overtime. The CSP strategy had a number of key priorities and each

priority was overseen by theme leads who were responsible for developing the Partnership's response with regards to their priority. At the start of each financial year, the CSP Board would agree a funding plan that identified spending on each priority.

The Community Safety Lead acknowledged that a more detailed breakdown of what the allocated spend covered would have been helpful and to assure Members, gave an example in relation to the spend in protecting people from serious harm would include spend around tackling moderns slavery, family support for individuals subject to exploitation, domestic violence and abuse spend, spend on community engagement on Prevent and raising community awareness. The CSP Board was reliant on a number of processes to ensure the commissioning of work was appropriate and relied on partners in different services including the voluntary and community sector in utilising their commissioning processes as the team did not have the resources to undertake these processes themselves.

The Community Safety Lead advised that the Council had raised the challenge for annual funding with the GMCA and how that impact don the ability to plan in advance. She also advised that the provision of CCT was not included in the funding for Community Safety. In addition the Community Safety Lead reported that the Council topped up the funding to tackle hate crime, adding a further £30,000 to the overall budget.

# Decision

The Committee notes the report.

# RGSC/21/60 Annual S106 Monitoring Report

The Committee considered the report of the Strategic Director, Growth and Development, that provided an update on the Council's Section 106 (s106) activity for the municipal years 2019/20, 2020/21 and 2021/22 (to date). The report further provided an update on the management and administration of planning obligations and set out the progress on unspent funds.

Key points and themes in the report included:

- Information following Internal Audit's review of the new S106 governance arrangements;
- An indication of affordable housing being provided from S106 contributions;
- How Developers were encouraged to mitigate any harm from their developments;
- Best practice and comparison of S106 arrangements with other GM local authorities; and
- The S106 triggers for planning applications within the Deansgate Ward.

Some of the key points that arose from the Committee's discussions were:

• Could and example be given of an occasion where the appropriate trigger has been met to facilitate the reconciliation process;

- Further clarity was need on how officers saw the role and input from Elected Members for the allocation of S106 spend within their ward;
- It would be helpful to have examples of other local planning authorities of their approach to allocation of S106 spend;
- Could S106 spend allocated to one ward be spent in another ward;
- What was the S106 money allocated to affordable housing being spent on; and
- It was important to note that not all S106 agreements were financial and some did relate to improvements made to areas within respective wards.

The Director of Planning, Building Control and Licensing advised that there had not yet been an occasion where the appropriate trigger had been met to facilitate the reconciliation process so was not able to provide an example. In terms of the input of Elected Members, there was certain points in the process, especially around pre-application stage where applicants were encouraged to engage with Elected Members to discuss requirements for mitigations before the application was formally submitted; once submitted applications were on a weekly list and there was also an opportunity to comment when an application is presented to the Planning and Highways Committee. She added that in addition, when S106 money was received, officers should engage with relevant ward members and agreed to develop this process in order to be consistent across the city. It was reported that there was a meeting planned in early 2022 with other core cities around how S106 monies were being secured and negotiated.

The Executive Member for Environment acknowledged the frustration on the lack of consistency in the engagement with Elected members on the allocation on S106 spend and agreed to pick this up with officers outside of the meeting and an update would be provided to all Members.

It was clarified that S106 money allocated to one ward could not always be spent in another ward as there was a need for a geographical link to the application in question (with the exception of a affordable housing contribution) and had to be spent within the terms of the original legal agreement

The Executive Member for Housing and Employment advised that the Council's Housing Affordability Fund did take money from S106 agreements and there was a governance process to ensure this was best spent across the city to deliver as much social rent and affordable housing as possible. This would include working in partnership with Registered Providers.

# Decision

The Committee notes the report.

### **RGSC/21/61 Overview Report**

The Committee considered the report by the Governance and Scrutiny Support Unit which provided details of key decisions that fall within the Committee's remit and an update on actions resulting from the Committee's recommendations. The report also includes the Committee's work programme, which the Committee was asked to amend as appropriate and agree.

# Decision

To note the overview report and agree the Committee's Work Programme.

#### Manchester City Council Report for Information

Report to: Resources and Governance Scrutiny Committee – 11 January 2022

Subject: Overview Report

Report of: Governance and Scrutiny Support Unit

#### Summary

This report provides the following information:

- Recommendations Monitor
- Key Decisions
- Work Programme
- Items for information

#### Recommendation

The Committee is invited to discuss and note the information provided and agree the work programme.

#### Wards Affected: All

#### **Contact Officer:**

Name:Mike WilliamsonPosition:Governance and Scrutiny Support ManagerTelephone:0161 234 3071E-mail:michael.williamson@manchester.gov.uk

#### Background documents (available for public inspection):

The following documents disclose important facts on which the report is based and have been relied upon in preparing the report. Copies of the background documents are available up to 4 years after the date of the meeting. If you would like a copy please contact one of the contact officers above.

None

#### 1. Monitoring Previous Recommendations

This section of the report contains recommendations made by the Committee and responses to them indicating whether the recommendation will be implemented, and if it will be, how this will be done.

Items highlighted in grey have been actioned and will be removed from future reports.

Date	Item	Recommendation	Action	Contact Officer
7 Dec 2021	RGSC/21/57 Council Housing Stock - Governance Arrangements	<ul> <li>Requests Officers and the appropriate Executive Member(s) re-consider the proposed makeup of the Board in light of the comments and concerns raised and in doing so recommends that:-</li> <li>the PFI stock is explicitly removed from the Terms of Reference;</li> <li>the maximum term of office for both Elected Members and the co-opted residents from the Council's housing stock should be set at a maximum of six years, that there should be parity between Members and residents, and that consideration be given to staggering the lengths of membership of the Board so that there is progressive rolling change in personnel over time;</li> <li>the terms of reference cover how conflicts of interest for Elected Members are to be addressed;</li> <li>the terms of reference explicitly refer to gender balance and ensuring diversity and protected characteristics are proportionately reflected across the membership of the Board;</li> <li>the terms of reference identify which Scrutiny</li> </ul>	To be reported back to a future meeting	David Ashmore Cllr Akbar

	<ul> <li>Committee(s) will be responsible for scrutinising the work of the Board.</li> <li>the terms of reference refer to the conurbation of Northwards Housing Stock rather than North Manchester, in order to truly reflect the location of all Northwards residents;</li> <li>the terms of reference are explicit insofar as the Board will act as an advisory body and not a governance and decision-making body; and</li> <li>consideration be given to a minimum number of co-opted non-resident members to be appointed, and a strategy is drawn up for timely recruitment of suitably qualified independent members.</li> </ul>	
--	--	--

# 2. Key Decisions

The Council is required to publish details of key decisions that will be taken at least 28 days before the decision is due to be taken. Details of key decisions that are due to be taken are published on a monthly basis in the Register of Key Decisions.

A key decision, as defined in the Council's Constitution is an executive decision, which is likely:

- To result in the Council incurring expenditure which is, or the making of savings which are, significant having regard to the Council's budget for the service or function to which the decision relates, or
- To be significant in terms of its effects on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards in the area of the city.

The Council Constitution defines 'significant' as being expenditure or savings (including the loss of income or capital receipts) in excess of £500k, providing that is not more than 10% of the gross operating expenditure for any budget heading in the in the Council's Revenue Budget Book, and subject to other defined exceptions.

An extract of the most recent Register of Key Decisions, published on **31 December 2021**, containing details of the decisions under the Committee's remit is included below. This is to keep members informed of what decisions are being taken and, where appropriate, include in the work programme of the Committee.

Corporate Core							
National Taekwondo Centre 2018/10/19A	Chief Executive	Not before 1st Nov 2018		Briefing Note and Heads of Terms	Richard Cohen r.cohen@manchester.gov.uk		
Enter into a 39 year lease with Sport Taekwondo UK Ltd for areas within the building.							

Strategic land and buildings acquisition 2019/06/03B The approval of capital expenditure for the purpose of the strategic acquisition of land and buildings to support the Eastlands Regeneration Framework.	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 3rd Jul 2019	Checkpoint 4 Business Case & Briefing Note	Richard Cohen r.cohen@manchester.gov.uk
Strategic land and buildings acquisition 2019/06/03C The approval of capital expenditure for the purpose of the strategic acquisition of land and buildings to support the Eastlands Regeneration Framework	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 1st Mar 2020	Checkpoint 4 Business Case & Briefing Note	Richard Cohen r.cohen@manchester.gov.uk
Establishment of a multi- supplier framework for the supply of gas TC473 (2020/01/28A) To approve a tender to establish a Multi-supplier framework for the supply of Gas. The framework	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 1st Oct 2021	Report and Recommendation	Walter Dooley w.dooley@manchester.gov.uk

agreement will also be made available on the same basis to other AGMA local authorities and any North West based public sector funded organisation.				
Manchester City Centre Triangle (2021/01/14A) The approval of capital expenditure for the construction of a scheme to connect travel hubs in the city centre	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 1st Mar 2021	Checkpoint 4 Business Case	Steve Robinson, Director of Operations (Highways) steve.robinson@manchester.go v.uk
Provision of Postal Services TC514 (2021/03/10A) To seek approval to appoint a supplier/s to provide Postal Services to Manchester City Council.	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 8th Apr 2021	Confidential Contract Report with Recommendation.	Anna Caswell-Thorp Anna.caswell- thorp@manchester.gov.uk
(TC145) Framework for the Provision of Financial Services (2021/05/11A) The appointment of provider(s) to deliver	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 11th Jun 2021		Louise Causley louise.causley@manchester.go v.uk

Financial Services Advice and Support.				
Corporate Printer Contract (2021/07/15) To award a new corporate printing contract to the existing supplier of corporate print services.	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 17th Aug 2021		Andrew Blore a.blore@manchester.gov.uk
Early Years - Tendered Daycare Settings (2021/07/16B) The approval of capital expenditure to support the continued provision of high- quality Early Years settings across the City.	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 16th Aug 2021	Checkpoint 4 Business Case	Amanda Corcoran, Director of Education a.corcoran@manchester.gov.uk
Security Services Contract (2021/10/15B) To award a five-year contract for Security Services. Security Services include access control, visitor management and CCTV.	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 15th Nov 2021	Checkpoint 4 Business Case	Andrew Blore a.blore@manchester.gov.uk

Security Services Upgrade Project - Capital Expenditure (2021/11/10A) To approve capital expenditure to procure and implement the Security Services Upgrade Project.	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 9th Dec 2021	Checkpoint 4 Business Case	Andrew Blore a.blore@manchester.gov.uk
Contract for the Provision of Microsoft Licences and Support (2021/11/26C) The appointment of a Company for the provision of Microsoft licences across the Council estate, including relevant support and maintenance.	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 26th Dec 2021	Report & Recommendation	Mary Lynch, Head of ICT PMO mary.lynch@manchester.gov.uk
Contract for a Technology and Implementation Partner for the Council's Resident and Business Digital Experience Programme (RBDxP) (2021/11/29B) The appointment of Technology and Implementation Partner for the Council's Resident and	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 29th Dec 2021	Report & Recommendation	

Business Digital Experience Programme (RBDxP)				
Contract for the Provision of Consultancy Services for Resident and Business Digital Experience Programme (RBDxP) (30/11/2021A)	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 30th Dec 2021	Report & Recomme	ndation
The appointment of Consultancy Services for Resident and Business Digital Experience Programme (RBDxP)				
Flare case management system (2021/12/03A) Approval to go out to Tender to replace an existing case management system.	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 3rd Jan 2022	Business	Case
Administration of the Covid 19 Additional Relief Fund (CARF) (2021/12/20A)	Executive	19 Jan 2022	Report to Executive	Julie Price j.price2@manchester.gov.uk,
To approve a scheme which will provide support to businesses under section 47 of the Local Government Finance Act 1988.				

Item 9

Executive	19 Jan 2022		Executive Report	Julie Price j.price2@manchester.gov.uk,
Executive	Not before 29th Dec 2021		Report of the Deputy Chief Executive and City Treasurer	Matthew Bennett S.Kapoor@manchester.gov.uk
		Executive       Not before 29th Dec	Executive       Not before 29th Dec	Executive     Not before 29th Dec 2021     Report of the Deputy Chief Executive and City

ltem 9

Highways Hire of Highways Maintenance Plant Vehicles and Equipment TC1010 (2019/09/03B) To seek approval to award a Framework to multiple suppliers who can deliver Highways Maintenance Plant Vehicles and	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 1st Nov 2019	Confidential contract report with recommendation	Brendan Taylor b.taylor1@manchester.gov.uk
The approval of capital expenditure to acquire land via Manchester City Council's option agreement.				
Purchase Agreement Didsbury Technology Park - MCC Option (2021/12/17A)	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 17th Jan 2022	Checkpoint 4	David Lord d.lord@manchester.gov.uk
<ul> <li>the development or purchase of a suitable large-scale solar PV facility</li> <li>a suitable direct Power</li> </ul>				

Provision of Rock Salt (2020/08/14G) To seek approval to award a contract to a supplier for the provision of De-Icing Salt (Rock Salt).	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 12th Sep 2020		Steve Robinson, Director of Operations (Highways) steve.robinson@manchester.go v.uk
Harpurhey & Moston - Junction and Crossings (Phase 1A) MCF (2021/06/10B) The approval of expenditure for the construction of a number of new crossings or upgrade existing crossings to support walking and cycling by making the roads safer in the Harpurhey & Moston using the Mayors Challenge Fund (MCF)	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 10th Jul 2021	Checkpoint 4 Business Case	Steve Robinson, Director of Operations (Highways) steve.robinson@manchester.go v.uk
Improvements to Manchester Cycleway that combines the Fallowfield loop line and Yellow Brick Road (previously the Stockport Branch Canal) (2021/09/17B)	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 11th Oct 2021	Checkpoint 4 Business Case	Steve Robinson, Director of Operations (Highways) steve.robinson@manchester.go v.uk

The approval of capital expenditure for the construction cost estimate for Manchester Cycleway funded by Mayors Challenge Fund				
40 MPH Speed Limit Reduction Programme (2021/11/22A) To approve capital expenditure to implement new reduced speed limits from 40mph to 30mph at ten locations across the city, these include: Moseley Road, Mancunian Way, Wilbraham Road, Dawson Street, Egerton Street, Regent Road, Kingsway, Styal Road, World Way and Broadway A663.	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 22nd Dec 2021	Checkpoint 4 Business Case	Steve Robinson, Director of Operations (Highways) steve.robinson@manchester.go v.uk
Levenshulme and Burnage Active Neighbourhood (2021/12/16A)	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 16th Jan 2022	Checkpoint 4 Business Case	Steve Robinson, Director of Operations (Highways) steve.robinson@manchester.go v.uk

Item 9

Approval of capital expenditure to undertake construction works for Levenshulme and Burnage Active Neighbourhood				
Children and Families				
Extra Care - Russell Road LGBT Project 2019/03/01H The approval of capital expenditure on the City's Extra Care Programme to develop new build extra care units which will be in the ownership of MCC.	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 1st Mar 2019	Checkpoint 4 Business Case	Steve Sheen s.sheen@manchester.gov.uk
Extra Care - Millwright Street Project 2018/03/01I The approval of capital expenditure on the City's Extra Care Programme to develop new build extra care units which will be in the ownership of MCC.	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 1st Mar 2019	Checkpoint 4 Business Case	Steve Sheen s.sheen@manchester.gov.uk
Manchester Creative Media Arts Academy Completion Works	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 11th Nov 2021	Checkpoint 4 Business Case	Amanda Corcoran, Director of Education a.corcoran@manchester.gov.uk

(2021/10/11A) The approval of capital expenditure for completion of works outstanding at Manchester Creative Media Arts Academy.				
Liquid Logic Phase 2 (2021/11/29D) To support the further embedding of system functionality and improved data quality and reporting and agree to fund the staff costs associated with this work and the costs for a external consulting organization to help with the work.	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 27th Dec 2021	Checkpoint 4 Business Case	Andrew Blore a.blore@manchester.gov.uk
Education and Skills				
Q20347 Consultant for EYES data Migration. 2019/04/25A Contract is to support Manchester City Council with the migration of their Education Management	City Treasurer (Deputy Chief Executive)	Not before 1st Jun 2019	Report and Recommendation	John Nickson j.nickson@manchester.gov.uk

	l		1	1
System away from Capita				
One towards the Liquidlogic				
EYES solution.				

# 3. Resources and Governance Scrutiny Committee - Work Programme – January 2022

Tuesday, 11 January 2022, 2.00pm (Report deadline Wednesday 29 December 2021) \* To account for New Year's day Bank Holiday

Item	Purpose	Executive Member	Strategic Director/Lead Officer	Comments
Finance Settlement	To receive an update report that outlines the key headlines of the Government's Finance Settlement figure for Manchester.	Councillor Craig (Leader)	Carol Culley Tom Wilkinson	
Revenue Budget modelling	<ul> <li>To receive a report that provides details on a number of areas and assumptions that underpin the setting of the Revenue Budget. This will include:-</li> <li>Demand pressures</li> <li>Inflation</li> <li>NLW</li> <li>Fees and Charges (including car park income)</li> </ul>	Councillor Craig (Leader)	Carol Culley Tom Wilkinson	
Funding of the Capital Programme	To receive a report that details how the Council's Capital Programme is funded and what the restraints/implications may be looking ahead in respect of further budgetary savings that are projected to be required from 2023 to 2025.	Councillor Craig (Leader)	Carol Culley Tom Wilkinson Tim Seagrave	
Budget Equality Impact Assessments	To receive a report that provides details on what Equality Impact Assessments are undertaken as part of the budget setting process and how these assessments influence the budget proposals	Councillor Craig (Leader) Councillor Rahman	Carol Culley Tom Wilkinson	

		(Deputy Leader)		
Overview Report	The monthly report includes the recommendations monitor, relevant key decisions, the Committee's work programme and any items for information.	N/A	Scrutiny Support	Overview Report

Tuesday, 8 February 2022, 2.00pm (Report deadline Friday 28 January 2021)

Item	Purpose	Executive Member	Strategic Director/Lead Officer	Comments
2022/23 Budget Report	Consideration of the final 2022/23 budget proposals in relation to the Corporate Core Directorate that will go onto February Budget Executive and Scrutiny and March Council.	Councillor Craig (Leader)	Carol Culley Tom Wilkinson	
Council Communications update	<ul> <li>To receive a report that provides an update on the Council's new three year communications strategy (April 2022 onwards).</li> <li>To also include <ul> <li>Priorities for 2002/23;</li> <li>the Council's Social Media strategy; and</li> <li>Examples of changes to take account of evaluation against outcomes</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Councillor Craig (Leader)	Alun Ireland	
Future Shape Programme - Update	<ul> <li>To receive an update on Future Shape Programme including an update on the following and how they relate the programme</li> <li>Development of ICT Strategy</li> </ul>	Cllr Rahman (Deputy Leader)	Carol Culley Chris Wanley Richard Munns	

	Estates Strategy - linked to how we work			
Overview Report	The monthly report includes the recommendations monitor, relevant key decisions, the Committee's work programme and any items for information.	N/A	Scrutiny Support	

# Items to be Scheduled

# (Items highlighted in grey indicate that these have been included in the work plan of one of the above meetings). (New items added are highlighted in blue)

Item	Purpose	Executive Member	Strategic Director / Lead Officer	Comments
Commercial activity	Precise Details to be confirmed	Councillor Craig	Carol Culley	
Contract Monitoring	Precise Details to be confirmed	Councillor Craig	Carol Culley	
Future Strategy for City Centre Car Parks	To receive a report on the strategy for the return of NCP city centre car parks to the Council's control	Councillor Rawlins	Carol Culley Steve Robinson	
Review of investments being made by the Council into its Capital Strategy in terms of delivering future VFM post COVID19	Precise scope to be determined	Councillor Craig	TBC	
GMCA Governance and Public Sector Reform	To receive an update on what is being delivered for the City through these arrangements	Cllr Leese (Leader)	ТВС	Date to be confirmed

This page is intentionally left blank